cambridge.org/neu

# **Original Article**

**Cite this article:** Terstege DJ, MacDonald DS, and Tasker RA. (2021) Standardised ginseng extract G115<sup>®</sup> potentiates the antidepressantlike properties of fluoxetine in the forced swim test. *Acta Neuropsychiatrica* **33**:141–147. doi: 10.1017/neu.2021.2

Received: 16 October 2020 Revised: 13 January 2021 Accepted: 18 January 2021 First published online: 22 January 2021

#### Key words:

ginsenosides; depression; learned helplessness; brain-derived neurotrophic factor; anxiety

Author for correspondence:

R. Andrew Tasker, Email: tasker@upei.ca

<sup>#</sup>Current Address: Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada CrossMark

# Dylan J. Terstege<sup>1,#</sup><sup>(</sup>), Debra S. MacDonald<sup>1</sup> and R. Andrew Tasker<sup>1,2</sup><sup>(</sup>)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Prince Edward Island, Charlottetown, Canada and <sup>2</sup>Translational Neuropsychiatry Unit, Clinical Medicine, Aarhus Universitet, Aarhus, Denmark

## Abstract

Objective: Ginsenosides, biologically active components of the root of Panax ginseng, have been reported to have therapeutic benefits in a number of disease states including psychiatric conditions such as major depressive disorder. Our objective was to determine if a standardised commercial ginseng extract, G115®, could reduce the signs of behavioural despair commonly observed in animal models of depression either alone or in combination with the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) fluoxetine. Methods: Male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (N = 51) were divided into four groups: vehicle control, G115® ginseng root extract, fluoxetine and fluoxetine plus G115®. Rats were trained to voluntarily consume treatments twice daily for 14 days and were then tested in an open field (OF), elevated plus maze (EPM) and forced swim test (FST). Post-mortem hippocampal and prefrontal cortex tissue was analysed for expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB) by western blot. Results: One-way Analysis of Variance revealed no significant group differences in the OF or plus-maze performance on any variable examined. In the FST, fluoxetine significantly reduced immobility time and increased latency to immobility. The effects of fluoxetine were further significantly potentiated by co-administration of G115®. Post-mortem tissue analysis revealed significant group differences in BDNF expression in the left hippocampus and left prefrontal cortex without any accompanying changes in TrkB expression. Conclusions: We conclude that oral G115<sup>®</sup> significantly potentiates the antidepressant-like effect of fluoxetine in the FST in the absence of potentially confounding effects on locomotion and anxiety.

## **Significant outcomes**

- Chronic (14-day) treatment with G115<sup>®</sup> significantly potentiated fluoxetine-induced reductions in immobility time and increased latency to immobility in the FST.
- No significant effects on general locomotor activation or anxiety behaviours were observed.
- Significant changes in hippocampal and prefrontal cortex BDNF but not TrkB were found.

#### Limitations

- Only male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats were used. Effects of sex and strain are unknown.
- Effects of varying doses or duration of treatment with G115® and fluoxetine are unknown.
- The drug combinations were not tested in an established rat model of depression.

## Introduction

Depression is one of the most common mental health problems in today's society, affecting over 320 million people worldwide (WHO, 2017), which represents a global prevalence of 4.7% (Ferrari *et al.*, 2013) although this varies with age (Costello *et al.*, 2006) and gender (Schuch *et al.*, 2013). Although there are many antidepressant therapies currently being prescribed to alleviate symptoms associated with depression, as many as 30–50% of patients suffering from depression fail to show a substantial clinical response to these conventional treatments including the widely prescribed selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) (Mrazek *et al.*, 2014; Kohler *et al.*, 2015). In fact, a 2016 meta-analysis of 34 clinical trials in children and adolescents concluded that only the SSRI fluoxetine was significantly more effective than placebo in these age groups (Cipriani *et al.*, 2016). This lack of treatment efficacy demonstrates a significant gap in

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Scandinavian College of Neuropsychopharmacology.





Fig. 1. Timeline of the experimental design.

our understanding of depression and the neurochemical systems involved, as evidenced by the multiple current theories of depression aetiology.

Panax ginseng has been widely used in traditional Asian medicine to alleviate a variety of ailments, including many symptoms commonly associated with depression (for review, see Jin et al., 2019). This traditional use of ginseng typically uses all parts of the ginseng plant (roots, stems and leaves) in certain formulations; however, most modern studies involving ginseng tend to utilise mainly the ginseng root. Ginseng root is believed to be the source of the plant's antidepressant properties, and contains a number of biologically active ingredients, including over 60 ginsenosides and a number of polysaccharides, fatty acids, oligopeptides and polyacetylenic acids (Qi et al., 2010). With so many possible combinations of biologically active ingredients potentially contributing to the reported antidepressant effects, most studies have chosen to focus on compounds or formulations that have shown successful antidepressant outcomes in the past (see Lee et al., 2020), including the purified ginsenosides Rg1 (Jiang et al., 2012), Rg3 (Cui et al., 2011) and Rb1 (Yamada et al., 2011). Rg1 and Rb1, along with several others (i.e. Rb2, Rc, Rd and Re), can be found in the *P. ginseng* C.A. Meyer extract G115<sup>®</sup> (Cui, 1995), which is marketed in a number of jurisdictions and widely consumed. Given the antidepressant effects associated with several of its constituents, it can be hypothesised that G115® would also show a reduction in depressive-like symptoms, such as behavioural despair.

Behavioural despair (also referred to as learned helplessness) is a measure by which depression is commonly scored in rodent models using the forced swim test (FST) (Porsolt *et al.*, 1978). This model, which examines the amount of time that the rodent spends immobile when exposed to an inescapable stressor (a column of water), is responsive to most conventional antidepressant therapies (Slattery & Cryan, 2012; Abbas *et al.*, 2012). While an increased proportion of time spent swimming or struggling in the FST, and a corresponding decrease in immobility time, can be indicative of an antidepressant treatment effect, this result could be confounded by differences in locomotor abilities and/or anxiety state. To account for possible confounding effects from these areas of potential difference, tests of locomotor activity and anxiety should accompany the FST (Bourin *et al.*, 2001).

In addition to effects on depressive behaviour, upregulation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) has been reported following administration of Rg1 (Lu *et al.*, 2010) and several lines of evidence support an important role for BDNF in the response to antidepressants (Nestler *et al.*, 2002; Shirayama *et al.*, 2002; Adachi *et al.*, 2008). Moreover, daily injections of Rg1 over 14 days have been shown to reverse the reductions in BDNF and neurogenesis that accompany the chronic mild stress (CMS) model of depression in rats (Jiang *et al.*, 2012).

Our objective in the current study was to determine whether or not the administration of G115<sup>®</sup> through voluntary drinking, either alone or in combination with the commonly used and efficacious SSRI fluoxetine (Cipriani *et al.*, 2016), would yield an effect on the behaviour of rats as measured in the FST. Further, we aimed to determine whether or not this effect would also manifest itself through changes in cortical and/or hippocampal BDNF signalling.

#### Materials and methods

Detailed methods information according to ARRIVE guidelines is included in Supplemental Table 1.

## Drug formulations

Commercially available ginseng extract G115<sup>®</sup> (Ginsana<sup>®</sup>, Switzerland), a standardised extract of *P. ginseng* C.A. Meyer was used throughout. The content of each soft gelatin capsule was removed and dissolved in a solution of 10% sucrose water to a concentration of 12.5 mg/ml. The sucrose solution was added to increase the hedonic value of the G115<sup>®</sup> extract for the animals, which helped ensure that the solution would be consumed within a reasonable timeframe.

Fluoxetine HCl (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Canada) was dissolved in 10% sucrose water either alone or in combination with G115<sup>®</sup> at a concentration of 0.25 mg/ml.

### Animals and treatment

Male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (Charles River; 225–250 g; N = 54) were pair-housed in a temperature-controlled environment with a 12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 06:00) with standard chow and water available *ad libitum*. Following their arrival, the animals were acclimated to voluntarily consume a 10% sucrose solution in a predetermined time (2 min). Three rats failed to meet the criterion during the acclimation phase and were excluded from further treatment and testing. Subjects were randomly divided into four groups and received twice-daily (08:00 and 16:00) treatments of either a 20 mg/kg G115<sup>®</sup> (n = 14), 5 mg/kg fluoxetine (n = 12), 20 mg/kg G115<sup>®</sup> with 5 mg/kg fluoxetine (n = 12) or vehicle alone (10% sucrose solution; n = 13). Groups were administered these doses each day throughout both a 14-day feeding period and the subsequent 4-day behavioural testing protocol. The experimental timeline is depicted in Fig. 1.

All animals were cared for following procedures approved in advance by the University of Prince Edward Island Animal Care Committee and in accordance with the Canadian Council on Animal Care guidelines. All possible efforts were made to minimise animal suffering and the number of animals used.

## **Behavioural testing**

All behavioural testing was conducted experimenter blind between the two daily feeding sessions during the light phase of the light/ dark cycle. The testing rooms were kept at the same temperature as the room holding the home cages and were dimly lit with indirect light. All behavioural trials were video-recorded for later experimenter-blinded analysis. Open field (OF) and elevated plus maze (EPM) data were acquired using AnyMaze<sup>®</sup> software (Stoelting, Wood Dale, USA). Specifics of each test paradigm are described below.

#### Open field

To examine possible treatment effects on motoric abilities that could confound any potential differences in the FST, animals were tested in an OF arena to examine locomotor activity (Seibenhener & Wooten, 2015). The animals were placed in a square black box  $(1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m})$  and given 5 min to explore the arena. Total distance travelled in the maze and time spent in the perimeter of the maze were recorded.

#### Elevated plus maze

In addition to locomotor abilities, differences in anxiety states between groups could confound potential differences in the FST. The EPM is often used as a rodent model for human anxiety as it measures the internal conflict between voluntarily entering the naturally feared open and elevated arms of the maze versus retreating to the closed arms of the maze (Walf & Frye, 2007). Therefore, animals were tested in an EPM to examine the effects of treatment on anxiety state. The animals were placed in the centre of the maze (arms 55 cm  $\times$  10 cm; elevation 1.5 m) facing an open arm and were given 5 min to explore the maze. Anxiety state was assessed by the time spent in the open arms of the maze and the total number of open arm entries.

#### Forced swim test

Following the OF and EPM, animals were subjected to a 2-day FST according to a well-established protocol (Porsolt *et al.*, 1978; Slattery & Cryan, 2012). On day 1, the animals were exposed to a 15-minute pre-swim session in the FST (cylinder 20 cm in diameter, 60 cm in height; water temperature  $24^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$ ). The following day, a 5-minute probe trial was administered and video recordings of this trial were scored by two independent investigators who were blind to treatment for time spent immobile, swimming or struggling by recording the predominant behaviour in each 5-second interval. A state of immobility was defined as when the animal showed no forelimb or hindlimb movement other than that necessary to avoid sinking, while swimming was scored when the animal moved horizontally in the water and struggling was marked by vertical bursts of movement.

### Western blotting

Following the FST, a pseudorandomly selected (based on home cage) subset of rats (n = 8/group) were placed in a closed chamber containing isoflurane until deeply anaesthetised (approximately 1 min), decapitated and brains were rapidly removed and divided into right and left hemispheres. The prefrontal cortical region and the hippocampus were dissected from each and flash-frozen using liquid nitrogen. Tissue was stored in labelled tubes at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until further processing.

Tissues were weighed and placed in ice-cold homogenisation buffer, containing 30 ul/ml of protease inhibitor (Roche 'Complete' 33×, Roche Diagnostics, IN, USA). Tissue was homogenised at 30 000 rpm for 30 s. The homogenate was centrifuged at 500 g for 20 min at 4 °C and the supernatant (membrane/cytosolic fraction) was removed and aliquoted. The concentration of protein was determined using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, IL, USA). For western blotting of BDNF and its cognate receptor tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB), 20 ug of protein per sample was denatured using Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., CA, USA) and boiling for 3 min. The sample was then loaded into 4–15% gradient acrylamide gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., CA, USA) for protein separation by gel electrophoresis. Separated proteins were transferred by electrophoresis to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (4–15% Mini-PROTEAN TGX stain-free protein gel) (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., CA, USA). Membranes were blocked with 5% milk in PBS, containing 0.1% Tween, for 1.5 h at room temperature. Each blot was then incubated with two primary/secondary antibody combinations:

- 1. Anti-TrkB (1:1000, Santa Cruz sc-377218): Anti-mouse IgG-HRP (1:5000, Sigma #A9917).
- 2. Anti-BDNF (1:500, Abcam ab108319): Anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (1:10000, Sigma #A0545).

All primary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer and incubated overnight at 4 °C. All secondary antibodies were diluted in PBS-Tween and incubated for 1.5 h at room temperature. All bands were visualised using clarity-enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) substrate (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., CA, USA) and visualised using the ChemiDoc<sup>®</sup> UV imaging system (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., CA, USA). Image analysis was performed using the Image Lab Software (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., CA, USA). A loading control common to all blots was generated by combining equal volumes of each fraction of the study samples that were then divided into equal 20 µg aliquots. All experimental samples were run in duplicate and data were expressed as the ratio of protein of interest to the loading control, normalised to total protein.

## Statistical analysis

All data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Version 23; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were confirmed as being homogenous for variance using Levene's test and analysed using one-way Analysis of Variance with post hoc comparisons using Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test, with p < 0.05 considered as statistically significant.

#### Results

There was no significant difference between groups with respect to mean weight during the 4 days of testing (data are not shown).

#### Forced swim test

Analysis of the FST data revealed significant main effects for time spent struggling ( $F_{3,47} = 7.65$ ; p = 0.0003), swimming ( $F_{3,47} = 6.42$ ; p = 0.001) and immobile ( $F_{3,47} = 16.23$ ; p < 0.0001) as well as a significant main effect for the latency to 10 consecutive seconds of immobility ( $F_{3,47} = 20.09$ ; p < 0.0001). Post hoc analysis of the immobility time data revealed that fluoxetine alone produced a significant reduction relative to sucrose vehicle (p < 0.05), but not compared to G115<sup>®</sup> alone. Further, the combination of G115<sup>®</sup> and fluoxetine resulted in reductions in immobility time that were significantly different from all other groups (p < 0.01) (Fig. 2A). When data were analysed for the latency to the first bout of 10 s of immobility, there was no significant difference between sucrose vehicle and G115<sup>®</sup> alone, but fluoxetine alone significantly increased latency relative to both vehicle (p < 0.01) and



**Fig. 2.** Performance in the FST. **A.** Total time immobile. **B.** Latency to 10 consecutive seconds of immobility. Values are represented as mean +/- SEM time (s). N = 12-14 \* p < 0.05 relative to vehicle control; # p < 0.01 relative to all other groups.

G115<sup>®</sup> (p < 0.05) and co-administration of fluoxetine and G115<sup>®</sup> produced significantly increased latency relative to vehicle (p < 0.01), G115<sup>®</sup> (p < 0.01) and fluoxetine (p < 0.05). These data are presented graphically in Fig. 2B.

#### Open field and elevated plus maze

Performance in the FST can be influenced by both changes in overall locomotor activation as well as by increases or decreases in anxiety. To determine if treatment altered either or both of these parameters, we measured behaviour in an OF arena and an EPM prior to testing in the FST (see Fig. 1). Analysis of the OF data indicated no significant main effects of treatment on total distance travelled ( $F_{3,47} = 0.263$ ; p = 0.85); an indicator of gross locomotor behaviour (Fig. 3A).

Thigmotaxis in an OF is also considered an indicator of anxiety. Analysis of time spent adjacent to the wall of the maze revealed no significant effects of treatment ( $F_{3,47} = 0.701$ ; p = 0.556) (Fig. 3B). In the EPM, both time in the open arm and entries into the open arm of the maze were found not to differ between treatment groups ( $F_{3,47} = 0.394$ ; p = 0.758 and  $F_{3,47} = 0.127$ ; p = 0.944, respectively) (Fig. 3C and D).

### **BDNF and TrkB expression**

Changes in the expression of both BDNF and its cognate receptor TrkB have been reported previously following the exposure to individual ginsenosides as well as to antidepressant drugs. In the current study, we found a significant main effect for BDNF expression in the left hippocampus ( $F_{3,21} = 6.03$ ; p = 0.004). Post hoc comparisons revealed that the difference was between the fluoxetine and fluoxetine + G115<sup>®</sup> groups with neither group being significantly different from any other (Fig. 4A). Analysis of right hippocampal BDNF expression revealed no significant treatment effect (Fig. 4B). In contrast, BDNF expression in the left prefrontal cortex was increased in both the fluoxetine and fluoxetine + G115® groups relative to G115® alone (Fig. 4C); an effect not seen in the right hemisphere (Fig. 4D). Analysis of TrkB expression detected a significant group effect in the right prefrontal cortex ( $F_{3,21} = 4.48$ ; p = 0.014) due to the fluoxetine + G115<sup>®</sup> group being significantly lower than the fluoxetine group although this was not different

from the vehicle or G115<sup>®</sup> alone groups. No other changes were observed (Table 1). Representative western blots of BDNF and TrkB are shown in Supplemental Fig. 1.

## Discussion

In previous studies, *P. ginseng* extract G115<sup>®</sup> has been found to attenuate ethanol-induced depression after oral gavage (Boonlert *et al.*, 2017). Administering the compound through voluntary drinking is inherently less stressful for the animal and more closely mimics how ginseng has been consumed in traditional Asian medicine. Therefore, in the present study, we first observed the effects of voluntary drinking of G115<sup>®</sup>, either alone or in combination with the SSRI fluoxetine, twice daily for 14 days on behavioural despair/learned helplessness by measuring both the time spent immobile (Fig. 2A) and the latency to immobility (Fig. 2B) in the FST. To determine the specificity of these effects, we also examined locomotor and anxiety behaviour in an OF and EPM paradigm, respectively. And lastly, we investigated both BDNF and TrkB expression in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex.

Behavioural despair/learned helplessness begins when an organism is put in an aversive situation from which it cannot escape, and then can be observed during subsequent encounters with this situation, as the organism will put less effort into trying to escape (Seligman & Maier, 1967). Behavioural despair and, by proxy, depression may be studied in rodents by use of the FST (Porsolt *et al.*, 1978; Castagne *et al.*, 2011) and measurement of the time spent immobile as opposed to struggling or swimming. Because this behaviour is increased in rodent models of depression, including the Flinders line of depression-prone rats (Wegener *et al.*, 2012) and reduced by most current antidepressant drugs and therapies (for review, see Petit-Demoulier *et al.*, 2005), immobility time is commonly used as a measure of depressive behaviour in rodents.

In the current study, investigator-blinded analysis of the behaviour of the rats during a 5-minute FST conducted 24 h after a 15-minute pre-swim revealed a significant reduction in immobility time (and a corresponding increase in struggling and swimming time; data are not shown) in the fluoxetine alone and fluoxetine + G115® groups (Fig. 2A). Further, animals in these two groups had a significantly longer latency to the first bout of 10 s of immobility (Fig. 2B). These results could be interpreted as a reduction in behavioural despair (an indicator of depression), but could have also been caused by drug-treated rats experiencing greater locomotor stimulation than their vehicle-treated counterparts. To investigate this, we analysed various indicators of overall locomotor behaviour in an OF test conducted prior to the FST (see Fig. 1). There were no differences between any of the four groups in total distance travelled (Fig. 3A) or average velocity (data are not shown), indicating that reduced immobility was not due to a generalised increase in locomotor behaviour. Similarly, it can be argued that rodents prone to heightened anxiety will struggle more in the FST resulting in a corresponding reduction in immobility time or latency to immobility. To examine this possibility, in addition to the OF test, we conducted an EPM test prior to the FST (see Fig. 1). Heightened anxiety manifests as both increased thigmotaxis in the OF and reductions in time and entries in the open arm of the EPM. Our data indicate no differences between any of these parameters, between any of the four groups (Fig. 3B-D). Consequently, we conclude that the effect of fluoxetine and fluoxetine + G115<sup>®</sup> treated rats in the FST was, in fact, a



**Fig. 3. A.** Total distance (m) travelled in the OF. **B.** Time (s) spent in thigmotaxis in the OF. **C.** Time (s) in the open arm of the EPM. **D.** Number of entries into the open arm of the EPM. All values are represented as mean +/- SEM. N = 12-14.

reduction in the degree of behavioural despair exhibited by these rats.

As expected, we observed a reduction in immobility time in the group treated chronically with fluoxetine (Fig. 2A). The use of the FST to screen antidepressant drugs, and particularly SSRIs, is well established (for review, see Cryan *et al.*, 2005). More importantly, however, we found that co-administration of G115<sup>®</sup> significantly potentiated the antidepressant effect of fluoxetine as measured by total immobility time (Fig. 2A) and latency to immobility (Fig. 2B). G115<sup>®</sup> alone did not produce this effect (Fig. 2) suggesting a mechanistic overlap between the ginsenoside formulation and the SSRI. As an initial attempt to further elucidate this interaction, we measured protein concentrations of BDNF and its cognate receptor TrkB in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex of treated rats.

Elevations of neurotrophins, particularly BDNF, as well as other components of the BDNF signalling cascade have been previously reported following both acute and chronic administration of purified ginsenosides. Rg1 has been shown to increase BDNF expression both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Liang *et al.*, 2010; Shi *et al.*, 2010) and Jiang *et al.* (2012) reported that chronic administration of Rg1 reverses both immobility time in the FST and tail suspension test as well as reductions in BDNF and TrkB in a mouse model of CMS. Similarly, the ginsenoside Rg3 has been reported to both reduce depression and increase BDNF in mouse models (Zhang *et al.* 2017; You *et al.*, 2017). Reductions in hippocampal BDNF and TrkB have also been reported in various rodent models of depression (Aso *et al.*, 2008; Badowska-Szalewska *et al.*, 2010). Accordingly, we chose to measure both BDNF and TrkB expression in prefrontal cortex and hippocampus following chronic consumption of G115 alone or in combination with fluoxetine. The data presented in Fig. 4 and Table 1 show that in the hippocampus fluoxetine did not significantly increase BDNF expression (although there was a non-significant tendency towards an increase in both hemispheres) (Fig. 4A and 4B). This is in contrast to some literature demonstrating that antidepressant therapies elevate BDNF (Mondal & Fatima, 2019; Deyama & Duman, 2020), but it should be noted that these studies use various rat models of depression, that have reduced BDNF expression that is subsequently normalised by therapy, as opposed to the rats in the current study that presumably began treatment with normal BDNF expression. Hence, it is possible that there is a 'ceiling' effect for hippocampal BDNF. In combination with G115®, however, there is a highly significant decrease only in the left hemisphere relative to fluoxetine alone (Fig. 4A). This was not observed in the right hemisphere although the group means followed a similar trend. In the prefrontal cortex, chronic fluoxetine significantly increased BDNF in the left, but not the right hemisphere (Fig. 4C and 4D) but there was no effect of concurrent administration of G115®. Western blot analysis of TrkB expression in these tissues indicated no significant difference amongst treatment groups with one minor exception that is probably a type 1 error given the lack of consistency with the BDNF data (Table 1). Taken collectively, the BDNF and TrkB data are difficult to interpret, but it clearly demonstrates that the observed potentiation of fluoxetine by G115<sup>®</sup> is not due to further enhancement of BDNF expression, although enhanced BDNF signalling by, for example, increased phosphorylation of TrkB and/or CREB are possibilities requiring further investigation.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a significant potentiation of the antidepressant-like action of fluoxetine by G115<sup>®</sup> in male SD

Table 1. Regional expression of TrkB as measured by western blot. Values are mean  $+\!/-$  SEM density relative to loading control

	Hippocampus		Prefrontal Cortex	
Group	Left	Right	Left	Right
Vehicle	1.53 (0.13)	0.60 (0.07)	0.91 (0.14)	1.08 (0.15)
G115	2.21 (0.72)	0.65 (0.08)	1.55 (0.55)	1.06 (0.11)
Fluoxetine	1.21 (0.17)	1.12 (0.16)	1.69 (0.17)	1.38 (0.09)
Fluoxetine + G115	1.25 (0.27)	1.14 (0.13)	1.10 (0.09)	0.83 (0.13)*

\*p < 0.05 relative to fluoxetine. N = 8 per group.



**Fig. 4.** Expression of BDNF in the left (A) and right (B) hippocampus and the left (C) and right (D) prefrontal cortex as measured by western blot. Values are mean +/- SEM density relative to loading control. \*p < 0.05 relative to G115; #p < 0.01 relative to fluoxetine. N = 8 per group.

rats. Marked changes in immobility in the FST, a measure of behavioural despair, were not confounded by drug actions on either locomotor activity or anxiety. The behavioural changes produced by G115<sup>®</sup> appear to reflect at least a partial mechanistic overlap with the actions of fluoxetine, but within the constraints of the current study, they do not appear to be due to changes in BDNF signalling in the hippocampus or prefrontal cortex. Further investigation in both normal rats and in rat models of depression is warranted with the ultimate aim of determining if G115<sup>®</sup> (or other ginsenoside formulations) might have a useful role as adjunctive therapy to SSRIs in the treatment of clinical depression.

**Supplementary material.** To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/neu.2021.2.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Melissa Perry and Sweta Daboo for technical assistance.

Funding for this research was provided by Fondation J. Louis Levesque in support of a Research Professorship awarded to RAT.

Author contributions. DJT and RAT designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript; DJT and DSM performed the experiments and collected and analysed the data; all authors edited the manuscript; RAT secured the funding.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Abbas G, Naqvi S and Dar A (2012) Comparison of monoamine reuptake inhibitors for the immobility time and serotonin levels in the hippocampus and plasma of sub-chronically forced swim stressed rats. *Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 25, 441–445.
- Adachi M, Barrot M, Autry AE, Theobold D and Monteggia LM (2008) Selective loss of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in the dentate gyrus attenuates antidepressant efficacy. *Biological Psychiatry* **63**, 642–649.
- Aso E, Ozaita A, Valdizan EM, Ledent C, Pazos A, Maldonaldo R and Valverde O (2008) BDNF impairment in the hippocampus is related to enhanced despair behavior in CB1 knockout mice. *Journal of Neurochemistry* **105**, 565–572.
- Badowska-Szalewska E, Spodnik E, Klejbor I and Morys J (2010) Effects of chronic forced swim stress on hippocampal brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and its receptor (TrkB) immunoreactive cells in juvenile and aged rats. *Acta Neurobiologiae Experimentalis* **70**, 370–381.
- Bourin M, Fiocco AJ and Clenet F (2001) How valuable are animal models in defining antidepressant activity ?. *Human Psychopharmacology* **16**, 9–21.
- Boonlert W, Benya-Aphikul H, Welbat JU and Rodsiri R (2017) Ginseng extract G115 attenuates ethanol-induced depression in mice by increasing brain BDNF levels. *Nutrients* **9**, 931; doi: 10.3390/nu9090931
- Castagne V, Moser P, Roux S and Porsolt RD (2011) Rodent models of depression: forced swim and tail suspension behavioral despair tests in rats and mice. *Current Protocols in Pharmacology* 5: Unit 5.8. doi: 10.1002/0471 141755.ph0508s49
- Cipriani A, Zhou X, Del Giovane C, Hetrick SE, Qin B, Whittington C, Coghill D, Zhang Y, Hazell P, Leucht S, Cuijpers P, Pu J, Cohen D, Ravindran AV, Liu Y, Michael KD, Yang L, Liu L and Xie P (2016) Comparative efficacy and tolerability of antidepressants for major depressive disorder in children and adolescents: a network meta-analysis. *Lancet* 388, 881–890.
- **Costello JE, Erkanli A and Angold A.** (2006) Is there an epidemic of child or adolescent depression ?. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **47**, 1263–1271.
- **Cryan JF, Valentino RJ and Lucki I** (2005) Assessing substrates underlying behavioral effects of antidepressants using the modified rat forced swimming test. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* **29**, 547–569.
- Cui J-F (1995) Identification and quantification of ginsenosides in various commercial ginseng preparations. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 3, 77–85.
- Cui J, Jiang L and Xiang H (2011) Ginsenoside Rb3 exerts anti-depressant-like effects in several animal models. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* 26, 697–713.
- Deyama S and Duman RS (2020) Neurotrophic mechanisms underlying the rapid and sustained antidepressant actions of ketamine. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 188, 172837. doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2019.172837
- Ferrari AJ, Somerville AJ, Baxter AJ, Norman R, Patten SB, Vos T and Whiteford HA (2013) Global variation in the prevalence and incidence of major depressive disorder: a systematic review of the epidemiological literature. *Psychological Medicine* **43**, 471–481.
- Jiang B, Xiong Z, Yang J, Wang W, Wang Y, Hu ZL, Wang F and Chen JC (2012) Antidepressant-like effects of ginsenoside Rg1 are due to activation of the BDNF signalling pathway and neurogenesis in the hippocampus. *British Journal of Pharmacology* 166, 1872–1887.
- Jin Y, Cui R, Zhao L, Fan J and Li B (2019) Mechanisms of Panax ginseng action as an antidepressant. *Cell Proliferation* 52: doi: 10.111/cpr.12696

- Kohler S, Cierpinsky K, Kronenberg G and Adli M (2015) The serotonergic system in the neurobiology of depression: Relevance for novel antidepressants. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* **30**, 13–22.
- Lee KH, Bahk W-M, Lee S-J and Pae C-U (2020) Effectiveness and tolerability of Korean red ginseng augmentation in major depressive disorder patients with difficult-to-treat in routine practice. *Clinical Psychopharmacology* and Neuroscience 18, 621–626.
- Liang W, Ge S, Yang L, Yang M, Ye Z, Yan M, Du J and Luo Z (2010) Ginsenosides Rb1 and Rg1 promote proliferation and expression of neurotrophic factors in primary Schwann cell cultures. *Brain Research* 1357, 19–25.
- Lu ZF, Shen YX, Zhang P, Xu YJ, Fan ZH, Cheng MH and Dong QR (2010) Ginsenoside Rg1 promotes proliferation and neurotrophin expression of olfactory ensheathing cells. *Journal of Asian Natural Products Research* 12, 265–272.
- Mondal AC and Fatima M (2019) Direct and indirect evidences of BDNF and NGF as key modulators in depression: role of antidepressants treatment. *International Journal of Neuroscience* **129**, 283–296.
- Mrazek DA, Hornberger JC, Altar CA and Degtiar I (2014) A review of the clinical, economic, and societal burden of treatment-resistant depression: 1996–2013. Psychiatric Services 65, 977–987.
- Nestler EJ, Barrot M, DiLeone RJ, Eisch AJ, Gold SJ and Monteggia LM (2002) Neurobiology of depression. *Neuron* 34, 13–25.
- Petit-Demouliere B, Chenu F and Bourin M (2005) Forced swimming test in mice: a review of antidepressant activity. *Psychopharmacology* 177, 245–255.
- **Porsolt RD, Anton G, Blavet N and Jalfre M** (1978) Behavioural despair in rats: a new model sensitive to antidepressant treatments. *European Journal of Pharmacology* **47**, 379–391.
- **Qi X, Ignatova S, Luo G, Liang Q, Jun FW, Wang Y and Sutherland I** (2010) Preparative isolation and purification of ginsenosides Rf, Re, Rd, and Rb1 from the roots of Panax ginseng with a salt-containing solvent system and flow step-gradient by high performance counter-current chromatography coupled with an evaporative light scattering detector. *Journal of Chromatography A* **1217**, 1995–2001.
- Schuch JJJ, Roest AM, Nolen WA, Penninx BWJH and de Jonge P (2013) Gender differences in major depressive disorder: results from the

Netherlands study of depression and anxiety. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2013.12.011

- Seibenhener ML and Wooten MC. (2015) Use of the open field maze to measure locomotor and anxiety-like behavior in mice. *Journal of Visualized Experiments* 96, e52434. doi: 10.3791/52434
- Seligman MEP and Maier SF (1967) Failure to escape traumatic shock. Journal of Experimental Psychology 74, 1–9.
- Shi Y-Q, Huang T-W, Chen L-M, Pan X-D, Zhang J, Zhu Y-G and Chen X-C (2010) Ginsenoside Rg1 attenuates amyloid-beta content, regulates PKA/ CREB activity, and improves cognitive performance in SAMP8 mice. *Journal of Alzheimers Disease* 19, 977–989.
- Shirayama Y, Chen AC, Nakagawa S, Russell DS and Duman RS (2002) Brain-derived neurotrophic factor produces antidepressant effects in behavioral models of depression. *Journal of Neuroscience* 22, 3251–3261.
- Slattery DA and Cryan JF (2012) Using the forced swim test to assess antidepressant-like activity in rodents. *Nature Protocols* 7, 1009–1014.
- Walf AA and Frye CA (2007) The use of the elevated plus maze as an assay of anxiety-related behavior in rodents. *Nature Protocols* 2, 322–328.
- Wegener G, Matthe AA and Neumann ID (2012) Selectively bred rodents as models of depression and anxiety. *Current Topics in Behavioral Neurosciences* 12, 139–187.
- World Health Organization, Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders – Global Health Estimates (2017). Available at https://www. who.int/mental\_health/management/depression/prevalence\_global\_health\_ estimates/en/ (accessed 8 October 2019).
- Yamada N, Araki H and Yoshimura H (2011) Identification of antidepressantlike ingredients in ginseng root (Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer) using a menopausal depressive-like state in female mice: participation of 5-HT2A receptors. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* 216, 589–599.
- You Z, Yao Q, Shen J, Gu Z, Xu H, Wu Z, Chen C and Li L (2017) Antidepressant-like effects of Ginsenoside Rg3 in mice via activation of the hippocampal BDNF signaling cascade. *Journal of Natural Medicines* 71, 367–379.
- Zhang H, Zhou Z, Chen Z, Zhong Z and Zhong L (2017) Ginsenoside Rg3 exerts anti-depressive effect on an NMDA-treated cell model and a chronic mild stress animal model. *Journal of Pharmacological Sciences* 134, 45–54.